# INFRARED LASER MULTIPLE PHOTON CHEMISTRY OF NITRO-METHANE

PH. AVOURIS, I. Y. CHAN<sup>†</sup> and M. M. T. LOY

IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, P. O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, N. Y. 10598 (U. S. A.)

(Received September 17, 1979)

#### Summary

The CO<sub>2</sub> laser-induced dissociation of nitromethane (CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>) is investigated through luminescence and laser-induced fluorescence studies. The main reaction channel is found to involve fission of the C-N bond. Both ground state and electronically excited NO<sub>2</sub> molecules are produced. Emission from electronically excited diatomic fragments is also observed. Interestingly, at pressures below 0.5 Torr the CN<sup>\*</sup>(B<sup>2</sup> \Sigma<sup>+</sup>) radical is produced unimolecularly. The mechanism of the fragmentation is discussed.

#### 1. Introduction

Infrared laser multiple-photon-induced chemistry (IRMPC) provides an excellent way of studying unimolecular chemical reactions, a fact which is often overshadowed by the possible technological applications to isotope separation [1]. IR activation with short laser pulses can result in a clean dissociation which is free from heterogeneous effects.

An aspect of IRMPC which is of particular interest involves the possibility of vibrational excitation well above the lowest energy reaction channel, followed by reaction from higher lying channels. This process could be more important under the fast laser activation at high power densities than under collisional (thermal) activation [2].

There have been several reports of collisionless production of high energy fragments which, at least superficially (see Section 3), suggest excessive vibrational excitation. In an early study Ambartzumian *et al.* [3] dissociated a number of polyatomic molecules using a focused  $CO_2$  laser beam and detected luminescence from a variety of diatomic photofragments during the first 150 ns after the laser pulse. More recently Campbell *et al.* [4] have reported

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Permanent address: Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, Mass. 02154, U. S. A.

the collisionless production of the C<sub>2</sub> molecule through the CO<sub>2</sub> laser dissociation of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>CN, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>. Similarly, the collisionless production of C<sub>2</sub> from C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> has been reported by Hall *et al.* [5]. Chemical evidence (in the collisional regime) of approximately 21 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> excess excitation has been presented by Rosenfeld *et al.* [6] for ethyl vinyl ether.

Nitromethane  $(CH_3NO_2)$  was among the molecules investigated in the early study of Ambartzumian *et al.* [3]. Upon  $CO_2$  laser excitation of  $CH_3NO_2$ , luminescence from a variety of diatomic molecular fragments was detected. The luminescence of the different fragments was recorded at pressures of 5 - 6 Torr, although the most intense bands of CN were observed at 1 Torr. Experiments on nitrogen isotope separation have also been reported in mixtures of  $CH_3^{14}NO_2$  and  $CH_3^{15}NO_2$  by Chekalin *et al.* [7].

In this study we reinvestigate in more detail the IRMPC of  $CH_3NO_2$ . Both the lowest activation energy reaction channel, involving the fission of the C-N bond to give  $CH_3$  and  $NO_2$ , and high activation energy channels, such as the production of CN radicals, are investigated. Particular emphasis is placed on the pressure dependence of the laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) and of the luminescence of the fragments in an effort to understand the unimolecular or collisional nature of their formation process.

#### 2. Experimental

The IR laser was a Tachisto TEA  $CO_2$  laser (approximately 0.8 J, 0.5 Hz, 200 ns full width at half-maximum and multimode). The IR pulses were focused into an anodized aluminum reaction cell, equipped with NaCl windows, through a 10 cm focal length  $BaF_2$  lens. The pulse energy was measured using a Scientech energy meter. The laser utilized for LIF was a Molectron nitrogen-laser-pumped dye laser.

The emission viewed at 90° to both laser beams was either dispersed by a 0.5 m Jarrell-Ash monochromator or isolated through filters and detected using an RCA C31034A GaAs photomultiplier. The transient signal was captured by a Biomation 610B transient recorder (100 ns channel<sup>-1</sup>) and averaged with a Tracor-Northern NS-570 digital signal analyzer. The CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> used was Aldrich spectrophotometric grade (Gold Label).

## 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. The lowest activation energy reaction channel

Based on our present knowledge of the IR multiple-photon dissociation (IRMPD) processes, the lowest activation energy reaction channel should be heavily favored. The kinetics of the thermal decomposition of  $CH_3NO_2$  has been the subject of early studies [8, 9]. The activation energy  $E_a$  for the thermal decomposition has been determined [8] to be 53.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Utilizing standard enthalpies of formation the enthalpy  $\Delta H$  of the reaction

$$CH_3NO_2 \longrightarrow CH_3 + NO_2$$
 (1)

has been calculated [9] to be 52.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The proximity of the values of  $E_a$  and  $\Delta H$  suggests that the initial and rate-determining step in the thermal decomposition of CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is the fission of the C-N bond according to reaction (1). Subsequent radical reactions lead to a variety of end products.

We dissociated  $CH_8NO_2$  with the TEA  $CO_2$  laser tuned to the P(36) line of the 10.6  $\mu$ m band; this line is in resonance with the  $\nu_7$  mode of  $CH_3NO_2$  (a C-N stretching vibration) [10]. The P(36) line was found optoacoustically to represent the best compromise between the absorption strength and the output power of the laser, *i.e.* the opto-acoustic signal was largest for the P(36) line, indicating the maximum energy deposition in the molecule. In order to monitor the occurrence of reaction (1) we utilized the LIF of NO<sub>2</sub>. NO<sub>2</sub> was excited by a dye laser at 505 nm and its fluorescence was isolated by the use of a Corning 3-68 filter. Very strong NO<sub>2</sub> fluorescence was observed indicating, as expected, the importance of reaction (1). This main dissociation channel of  $CH_8NO_2$  was not, however, detected by Ambartzumian et al. [3]. The dependence of the NO<sub>2</sub> LIF intensity  $I_{\text{LIF}}$  on the  $CH_3NO_2$  pressure is shown in Fig. 1. At low pressures (0.08 - 0.5 Torr) the production of ground state NO<sub>2</sub> molecules (which is proportional to  $I_{LIF}$ ) shows approximately a linear dependence on the  $CH_2NO_2$  pressure ( $I_{LIF} \propto$  $P^{0.9}$ ). At pressures above about 0.5 Torr, however, collisional effects become important.

We also found that a small fraction of the NO<sub>2</sub> molecules resulting from the dissociation of  $CH_3NO_2$  is produced in an electronically excited state. This process was studied by monitoring the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> luminescence in the absence of dye laser excitation. The variation of the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> luminescence intensity with  $CH_3NO_2$  pressure is shown in Fig. 2. The behavior is analogous to that observed for ground state NO<sub>2</sub> production. In the low pressure regime (0.03 -



Fig. 1. The pressure dependence of the NO<sub>2</sub> LIF signal. TEA CO<sub>2</sub> laser at 10.76  $\mu$ m (0.5 J pulse<sup>-1</sup>) and dye laser at 505 nm.

Fig. 2. The pressure dependence of the NO<sub>2</sub> luminescence. TEA CO<sub>2</sub> laser at  $10.76 \,\mu\text{m}$  (0.5 J pulse<sup>-1</sup>).

0.5 Torr) a nearly linear  $(P^{1,2})$  dependence is found, followed by a collisional regime above about 0.5 Torr.

The linear dependence of the NO<sub>2</sub> LIF signal on CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> pressure at P < 0.5 Torr implies that the excited CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> dissociates in a unimolecular fashion to give NO<sub>2</sub> (reaction (1)). A linear pressure dependence for the NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> production below 0.5 Torr suggests that NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> may be the result of a vibrational  $\rightarrow$  electronic (V  $\rightarrow$  E) energy transformation process occurring during the dissociation of vibrationally excited CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. However,  $E_a \approx \Delta H$  for reaction (1) [9] and, since the unimolecular reaction rate increases rapidly with excess energy, electronic excitation of NO<sub>2</sub> by a V  $\rightarrow$  E process would require a fast up-pumping rate, resulting in excess vibrationally excited CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>. Of course only a small fraction of CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> molecules, corresponding to the high energy tail of the vibrational energy distribution, could give rise to NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>.

The production of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> and also CN<sup>\*</sup> (see Section 3.2) shows a collisional behavior at P > 0.5 Torr. It is unlikely that bimolecular reactions leading to these various products will become established at the same pressure (0.5 Torr). Moreover, it is known [8, 9] that the thermally induced reaction (1) remains unimolecular to pressures as high as 400 Torr. There is no obvious reason why the same reaction induced by the laser should change its molecularity above 0.5 Torr. For these reasons we ascribe the observed collisional behavior to the effects of collisions on the process of energy deposition in the CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> molecule. Collisions can strongly influence the coupling of the molecule and the IR light, *e.g.* by enhancing through pressure broadening the linear and non-linear IR photon absorption process. Collisionally induced R  $\rightarrow$  R relaxation also helps to eliminate the effects of rotational hole burning.

# 3.2. Diatomic fragments

The spectrally resolved luminescence resulting from the CO<sub>2</sub> laser irradiation of 10 Torr CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> is shown in Fig. 3. Each point represents the average of 64 pulses. The spectrum shows several strong vibronic transitions of the violet  $B^2\Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+$  system of CN along with the 4300 Å  $A^2\Delta \rightarrow X^2\Pi$ system of CH [11]. In addition, weak Swan system emission  $d^3\Pi_g \rightarrow a^3\Pi_u$ from C<sub>2</sub> is observed. These results are in agreement with the observations of Ambartzumian *et al.* [3]. At low pressures the presence of these emissions was verified by the use of interference and bandpass filters.

The dynamics of the formation of the  $CN^*$  radical were followed by studying the time dependence of the  $B \rightarrow X$  luminescence (isolated by a 7-51 Corning bandpass filter) using an oscilloscope with a 6 ns risetime. The maximum of the  $CN^*$  emission was achieved within the duration of the  $CO_2$  laser pulse which was monitored by a photon drag detector.

The dependence of the  $B \rightarrow X CN^*$  luminescence on  $CH_3NO_2$  pressure was also investigated and the results are shown in Fig. 4. The luminescence intensity was measured with the help of a transient recorder at approximately 300 ns after the onset of the  $CO_2$  laser pulse. As in the case of  $NO_2$ 



Fig. 3. Spectral distribution and assignments of the luminescence resulting from the TEA  $CO_2$  laser (10.76  $\mu$ m, 0.5 J pulse<sup>-1</sup>) irradiation of  $CH_3NO_2$  (10 Torr). Each point represents the average of 64 laser pulses. The spectral resolution is 8 Å. The inset shows the CN  $(B^2\Sigma^+\rightarrow X^2\Sigma^+)$  emission from the  $CO_2$  laser-induced plasma ( $CH_3NO_2$  pressure, 30 Torr); optical multichannel analyzer detection.

Fig. 4. The pressure dependence of the  $B^2 \Sigma^+ \rightarrow X^2 \Sigma^+$  luminescence of CN. TEA CO<sub>2</sub> laser at 10.76  $\mu$ m (0.5 J pulse<sup>-1</sup>).

and  $NO_2^*$  production discussed earlier, two distinct regimes are seen. At low pressures (below 0.5 Torr) a linear dependence ( $P^{1.1}$ ) of the CN<sup>\*</sup> emission on CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> pressure is observed. Above about 0.5 Torr the dependence becomes quadratic.

The linear dependence on pressure of the  $CN^*$  emission coupled with the fast risetime is particularly important. It implies that unimolecular production of the CN radical from the  $CH_3NO_2$  molecule occurs. Since the lowest reaction channel involves fission of the C-N bond (reaction (1)), if CN is produced from a unimolecular decomposition of vibrationally excited  $CH_3NO_2$ , CN production must involve higher lying reaction channels.

The model proposed by Ambartzumian *et al.* [3] to explain the collisionless formation of the diatomic radicals involves the accumulation of a very large amount of energy followed by the simultaneous fission of several chemical bonds. Such a mechanism appears unlikely because, although it may be characterized by a large positive entropy of activation, its activation energy should be very high. It is well known that the rate of unimolecular reactions increases rapidly with excess energy above the threshold (activation) energy [12]. Therefore a large vibrational excitation above the lowest dissociation channel is unlikely.

A reaction leading to CN and which maximizes the bonding among products, *e.g.* 

 $CH_3NO_2 \rightarrow CN + H_2O + OH$ 

should also be considered. Although its activation energy may not be very high, it requires a highly organized transition state which will result in a large negative entropy of activation. Therefore the reaction rate through such a channel is expected to be low and most probably will be unable to compete with the fast rate of the lowest channel.

An altogether different mechanism may involve nearby higher energy channels. For example, the fission of the N–O bond in  $CH_3NO_2$  requires approximately 72 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Taking this value as a lower limit for the corresponding activation energy, this reaction channel can be only about 20 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (approximately seven photons) higher than the lowest channel reaction (1). Since the resulting  $CH_3NO$  molecule and its rearrangement product  $CH_2$ =NOH both absorb the original  $CO_2$  laser frequency [13], they could be further photolyzed. We could then have a mechanism which involves a moderate additional excitation to, and reaction from, a second higher lying channel followed by sequential photofragmentation of the products to finally give CN. Although the occurrence of this process cannot be proven at this point, it appears reasonable and is in line with other cases, *e.g.* SF<sub>6</sub>, where sequential photofragmentation has been proposed [14]. Collisionless sequential photofragmentation may in fact be the mechanism for the collisionless production of C<sub>2</sub> observed previously [4, 5].

The pressure dependence of the CH<sup>\*</sup> emission seen in Fig. 3 was also studied. Because the emission is weaker, and because of the need to use narrow filters to discriminate against the overlapping CN<sup>\*</sup> and C<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> emissions, the signal-to-noise ratio is low and the data are not as reliable. The pressure dependence of the luminescence is found to be  $P^{1.9 - 2.3}$  in the studied pressure range of 0.2 - 2 Torr. In view of the observed linear dependence at P < 0.5 Torr for NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> and CN<sup>\*</sup>, this result suggests that CH<sup>\*</sup> is the product of a bimolecular reaction.

The  $C_2^*$  fragment seen in Fig. 3 is obviously the result of a bimolecular reaction since  $CH_3NO_2$  contains only one carbon atom.

# Acknowledgment

M. T. T. L. acknowledges partial support from the Office of Naval Research.

## References

- 1 V. S. Letokhov and C. B. Moore, in C. B. Moore (ed.), Chemical and Biochemical Applications of Lasers, Vol. III, Academic Press, New York, 1977.
- 2 M. Quack, J. Chem. Phys., 69 (1978) 1282.
- 3 R. V. Ambartzumian, N. V. Chekalin, V. S. Letokhov and E. A. Ryabov, Chem. Phys. Lett., 36 (1975) 301.
- 4 J. D. Campbell, M. H. Yu and C. Wittig, Appl. Phys. Lett., 32 (1978) 413.
- 5 J. H. Hall, Jr., M. L. Lesiecki and W. A. Guillory, J. Chem. Phys., 68 (1978) 2247.

- 6 R. N. Rosenfeld, J. I. Brauman, J. R. Barker and D. M. Golden, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 8063.
- 7 N. V. Chekalin, V. S. Dolzhikov, Yu. R. Kolomisky, V. S. Letokhov, V. N. Lokhman and E. A. Ryabov, *Appl. Phys.*, 13 (1977) 311.
- 8 T. L. Cottrell and T. J. Reid, J. Chem Phys., 18 (1950) 1306.
- 9 L. J. Hillenbrand and M. L. Kilpatrick, J. Chem. Phys., 21 (1953) 525.
- 10 A. J. Wells and E. B. Wilson, J. Chem. Phys., 9 (1941) 344.
- 11 R. W. B. Pearse and A. G. Gaydon, *The Identification of Molecular Spectra*, Chapman and Hall, London, 1976.
- 12 P. A. Robinson and K. A. Holbrook, Unimolecular Reactions, Wiley, London, 1972.
- 13 W. Lüttke, Z. Elektrochem., 61 (1957) 302.
- 14 P. A. Schulz, Aa. S. Sudbo, D. J. Krajnovich, H. S. Kwok, Y. R. Shen and Y. T. Lee, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., (1979).